App. F. IX-4

1931.
(Mazhai)

File No. 16-31.

Previous References

Mez 254-27-1-75 —

Serial Nos. 1 - 9.

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 9.

Part B for July 33.

SUBJECT.

Regarding the BRimappa's chattram lands at Pavagada

To The Mez Comr
" Revr Comr
" Comptroller
" Supdt Revr Survey & Settlement

8/9

No. 436 & 13-7 33

Directs that that chattram might be kept in good repair out of the income of the land

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

(1) This is required to-day as the Vakil is interviewing the Member tomorrow.
KB
22/7.

(2) Enquiry in file no 2540-27 Sl 31-15 about the management of Private Cinemas in the State is put up for reference as desired

(3) As regards orders pertaining to the supervision of the cinema houses and as to censorship of films, kind attention is invited to pages 9 to 12 of the Rules in the file and to chapters mentioned

S T & W. Executive
Regarding the grant of
22/7 [illegible] yes

No stay order can be issued. The records may be called for. The party may be heard.
Thus
23/7/31

V B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

Mug Review 2 of 31-32

G.R.S. III—3] Mug 16-31

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

---

a) Issue Ltr to Govt for Examination & Report

24/7/31

b) Issue

VS

24.7.31

An Inv 137 D/ 25.7.1931 forwarding the application to the N.C. for Enlargement of the period of the Lic

Encl no 138 D/ 25.7.1931 to Mr C Venkata Bhima Rao, c/o Mr K.S. Bhashyam Iyengar Advocate, Bangalore, intimating that the application is receiving attention and that no Money order can be issued.

Mr J.S. Please see the Report

25/7

Accounts Mg 25/7/31

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

General and Revenue Secretariat — Mucz File No. 16 of 193

Serial No.	
4	Letter No 126/31-32 dt 4.11.31 from the Muzrai Commissioner forwarding records relating to the resumption of Inam lands endowed with Bhimabhai's Chattram at Pavagada. 8) The connected ref^ce file has been put up for Mz No 318 (Mangalwadi Chattram Case), & sent to Dt. Sce. The return of this file is awaited. 9) Yes 16.11.31 10) Muz 254-27-13 is quoted for ref^ce The facts of the case are as stated in Mz No 1579-81 dt 15/1/31 - 11) Kind ref^ce is invited to the Statement dt 3/2/28 furnished by the Amildar - The building is in disrepair & the Musafirkhana, a portion of the Chattram building has been let out for a sum of Rs 2/- p.m. The beneficiaries enjoy the income from the lands endowed to the Chattram & they have been deriving further income by letting out the Musafirkhana. 12) From the Sale the purpose for which the lands were endowed the Supdg Rev Survey & Settlement...

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 02559—G PB—50 000—8 7 30

File No. 16 of 1931-2 — General and Revenue Secretariat

...ing we asked to send an extract from the Inam Regr maintained in his office

...Regr submitted for orders

H. Secy) (11) VS 30.11.31

(12) h 2/12

(13) Issue VS 3.12.31

...SECT... 4 DEC 1931

No 876 dy 5.12.31 to the Superintendent Revenue Survey and Settlement for an extract from the Inam Registers of his office about the grant of lands for the Chattram referred to therein

letter No 61/31-32 dy 14.12.31 from the Superintendent Revenue Survey and Settlement forwarding extract of Inam Register Mogedalabella Etc granted to Chattram and Musafirkhana at Pavugada Taluk.

(14) As seen from the Extract sent by the Survey Superr, Bhagavandasa Chattram lands will be continued so long as the Chattram and Musafirkhana are in good order. As they were in utter disrepair the resumption of the Inam lands has been ordered in G.O No 1579-81/Inm 254-27-12 dy 15/1/31 & the institutions taken over by Govt for management.

H.

Mag/Rev 24 31-32 Re: Resumptions of Landlord need to Khas/appls Chalisa Pavagada

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mag File No. 16 of 193 :

Serial No.	
18	Rejn:- Orders at para 5 of the Note Engrossed The date to which the appeal may be posted to for orders Es Cb 17/12 17/12 H. Secy) 18.12.31 1st instance) 26/12
21	The petitioner's lawyer Mr K.T. Bhashyam asked for an adjournment which has been allowed. May be taken up with cases to be dealt with on the next date of hearing Mag 14-2
1)	Case called: Petr present. He wanted his case to be taken up after his lawyer appeared. Another case was therefore taken up. Mr Bhashyam appeared later on & he was told that the case would be taken up on the next day Mag 26/3/32

Posted to 14 March 1932.

Posted to 26 March 1932

Posted to 1 1 1932

4966

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

File No. of 193 . General and Revenue Secretariat



Serial No.

5969

This case was taken up on the 4th April 1932. The petitioner and his Lawyer, Mr. Bhashyam were both present.

Order.

The petitioner represents that the Chattram and the Musafarkhana were built by an ancestor of his and that he is anxious to maintain them in a satisfactory condition, so that it might be useful to the public and therefore requests that the Dharmadaya Inam lands attached to the buildings might be restored to him. It is quite clear from the records that the buildings have been very badly neglected and that the Dharmadaya lands have been dealt with as ordinary family property. There does not, therefore, appear to be any reason to modify the order already passed. There are, however, one or two points which have got to be cleared up. The Municipality was asked to take over the buildings but it is unwilling to do so. Whether the buildings are necessary for the use of travellers or not, has to be ascertained. The petitioner contends that if the lands are resumed, the buildings may be made over to him. If there is no public purpose to which the buildings could be put and if they were really constructed at the expense of the family, the buildings might be restored to the petitioner. Otherwise, the question of maintaining the buildings in a satisfactory condition will have to be settled. If the buildings could be kept in a state of good

WD 2087—GPS—500—25-5-31

repair

Serial No.

repair for public use, the income from the lands might be used for the purpose and safeguards provided to ensure that the income from the lands is devoted to the charity and not diverted for any other purpose. The order already passed does not resume the lands absolutely to Government, but has directed that they might be taken over for direct management by Government for the benefit of the charity. A communication might be addressed to the Muzrai Commissioner for obtaining information in regard to the need for any public purpose of these two buildings and on receipt of his report, further action to be taken might be settled.

7/4

7/4

9/4/32

7 Letter No. 1441 dt 12.4.32 to the M.C. Calling for additional information.

8 Letter no 126/31-32 dt 19.4.1933 from the Muzrai Commissioner stating that the restoration of the Inam lands endowed to Bhimabhai Chaduyal Parvagada & Venkata Bhimappa or entrusting the management of the Chattram

WD 2087—GPS—500—25-5-31

Review 29.31-32

(9

al and Revenus Secretariat

[App. F. III—2

File No. of 1932-3

Serial No.

to him is not necessary for reasons detailed therein but as only that an independent Committee may be appointed to look after the Chattram, the net proceeds of the Inam lands to be leased out being utilised for the upkeep of the Chattram.

7 The Musafirkhana at the place is reported to have fallen down, the D.C. Nellore is of opinion that there is no use of repairing it repaired at a heavy cost. The Chattram building as stated to be in a fairly good condition for use of the public. The report is submitted for the information as para 2 supra. The proposals made in para 3 of the D.O. of the M.C. are forwarded —

Yes Yes

7/5

3

Please refer to the Inam records and note whether the buildings were constructed by the Ancestors of the petitioner at their own expense.

12/67 33

Yes

29 Vide ref ee

No. 88 / 1861-62 addressed to Dt Magistrate by the Parupatyam Tahsildar. The Chattram & the Musafirkhana at P. are found to have been constructed by late Mr. Srinivasa Rao - Khayam Tahsildar of Achampanahalli Parupatyam Tq

X Z

Note—Contn. Sheet.

who later on entrusted the repairs etc to one
Venkata Bhimappa. The latter & thereafter the
repairs of both the schemes & the Inam
lands gradually were transferred to the name of
Bhimappa & the buildings also later on appear
to have been called after his name.
Thus the present petitioners ancestors
do not appear to have constructed
both the buildings at their cost & they
have merely effected the necessary
repairs from time to time. Even
This was neglected by the present
petitioner & hence the proposed
Inam lands were resumed.
Held both rep[ly] to para 28.

G.S
28/8
31

Submitted with respect to first Member
Minutes at para 24 supra.
The M.C. reports that the Chattram building is
useful to the public and is very necessary,
that the Musafirkhana has fallen
down and there is no use getting it
repaired at heavy expense.
As regards the maintenance of
the Chattram the M.C. opines that
a Committee may be appointed to
look after it, the net
proceeds of the Inam lands being
utilised for its repairs & maintenance.

Serial No..

As regards the question as to whether the buildings were really constructed at the expense of the family it will be seen from the Comm[r] of 1861-62 (flag 2 that they were constructed by one M[r] Brierley and, as explained in the office note of 29/3/33 supra, the subsequent letter on the records to one M[r] Bhimacharya whose name has now become associated with the buildings.

1/6/33

Sl Secy)

2nd Asst)

32) 15-6-33

1/5/6

33 Please put up

18/6

34) The findings of the Hon. Com[r] as regards the usefulness of the Chatram may be accepted. The lands may be devoted to the maintenance of the chatram. I think the appt of a Committee of Dharmadarsis is within the powers of the M.L. If so, he may be asked to take necessary action

1/7

1/7

Secretariat Number of Council, 1933 No. 5465

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

File No. 115 of 1933.

1933

3.) G.O. No.

6/7 Draft revised & submitted

6/7/33

Put up typed draft as revised please

6/7/33

Typed draft submitted.

7/7 G.O. —

Draft for approval

8/7/33

H. Secy) In personal presence

Dewan) 10.7.33 10/7

11/7/33

11/7

TYPING SECTION
1 JUL 1933
JUDL & REVENUE

G.O. No 43-B dy 13/7/33 passing orders on the appeal petition of Mr C. Venkata Bhima Rao of Chavara-Keshavapuram Paravanda Taluk.

Memo No 47 dy 13.7.33 to the appeal petitioner forwarding copy of the orders in Sl 9.

B 18/7 —

Memo No 48 dy 13-7-33 to Mr K.T. Bhashyam Pleader Advocate forwarding copy of the petitioner forwarding copy of order in Sl 9



ONE RUPEE

Muz Review 20 of 31



BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Muzrai Petition No. 2 of 31-32.

Petitioner:- C. VENKATA BHIMA RAO, son of Hanumantha Rao, aged about 32 years, residing in Chennakesavapura, Pavagada Taluk, Tumkur District.

The Petitioner abovenamed most humbly and respectfully begs to state as follows:-

Facts of the Case.

Over seventy to eighty years ago the fore-fathers of the petitioner built as a charity for the use of the public a chattram and a musafarkana in the town of Pavagada. These were built at a cost of about Rs.4000/- and the public were greatly benefitted thereby.

The repairs and upkeep of these buildings could not be carried on without help; and the Government were pleased to grant to the family some lands as Inamthi for the purpose. This was in or about the year 1862-63. Since then the petitioner's ancestors and latterly the petitioner have been in the enjoyment of these lands and maintaining the buildings in proper condition.

In the year 1910-11 some unknown person wrote an anonymous petition that the buildings were not in good

repairs.

repairs. The then De ty Commissioner thereupon ordered that the chattr may be let out on rent and the amount realised be sed with the consent of the managers or owners for eeping it in repairs and that he would go to avagoda and pass final orders after inspecting the buildings.

Nothing happened further and the entire question was dropped until 1929-30 till when petitioner continued as was his duty to maintain the chattra and musafarkana though Government realised rent from chattra. In July 1929 petitioner was served with a notice from the Amildar to show cause why the Inam Lands should not be - resumed since the chattra was in a dilapidated condition. The petitioner submitted that he was attending to repairs and upkeep and that if Government were pleased to pay him the rents realised from the chattra since 1911-12 he would rebuild such portions of the chattra as might - have been affected by the age of the building. The - Amildar gave an endorsement that the rents realised - would be spent on the building by the Government themselves and that petitioner should attend to repairs and upkeep in such manner as may be desired by the Amildar. The petitioner was ready and willing to do so. This was on 20. 1. 1930.

Accordingly the Government were pleased to rebuild portions of the building out of the rents realised. - The petitioner had attended to the repairs and upkeep of the musfarkana and was waiting orders of the Amildar in the matter of further repairs if any to the chattra by him.

On 10. 5. 1931 however he was told that Government

have

have ordered in order No. 1579-81 Muz -254-27-13 dated 14/15-1-31 that the lands should be resumed and that - the chattra should be given over to the care of the - Municipality. The endorsement served on him in this behalf is produced herewith.

The petitioner most humbly submits that the order resuming the lands and handing over the chattra is - highly inequitable and opposed to the justice of the - case. The petitioner prays that the Hon'ble Government may be pleased in modification of that order to direct that petitioner do continue to enjoy the lands recover the rents and maintain the buildings in proper repairs, on the following

GROUNDS.

1. The chattra and the musafarkana have all along been kept in proper repairs. It was only when age began to tell on the chattra that rebuilding became necessary and this has been done out of rents realised as per order of the Deputy Commissioner. Thus there has been no laches on petitioner's part.

2. There was and has been no complaint whatever against petitioner regarding the musafarkana for whose upkeep also the lands were meant.

3. The seasonal conditions in Tumkur District and particularly in Madhugiri and Pavagada Taluks have been notoriously bad for nearly two decades and the income - from lands yeild little indeed over and above the kandayam. It is only the hope of better times that keeps on the ryot to his land. Yet petitioner has spent all the income and

sometimes

sometimes from his own pocket in repairing the buildings.

4. That it was this aspect of the situation that was appreciated by the Deputy Commissioner in passing an order directing the leasing of the Chattra and utilising the proceeds in the repairs "with the consent of the managers or owners."

5. The buildings belong to the petitioner and his family and it would not be just or equitable for the Hon'ble Government to hand over the chattra to the Municipality. - It is a costly and valuable building built entirely by - the petitioner's ancestors at their own expense.

6. The learned Deputy Commissioner in 1911-12 had promised to inspect and pass final orders. It was not done and the matter was allowed to lie for 17 years; - during all the time Government realised the rents while petitioner spent monies on repairing though he could well have insisted on the rents being paid to him before he - effected any repairs.

7. The Amildar directed that petitioner should - effect repairs in such manner as may be indicated by him. This was never indicated. It is unjust to penalise the petitioner for no fault of his.

8. Since 1911-12 Government were in supervision of the building leasing it out and recovering rent. The subsequent repairs effected by them is only just and proper - and there was no fault on petitioner's part.

9. The buildings are in good condition which could not be the case unless petitioner had been regularly -- looking to their upkeep and maintenance.

10. The

10. The order resuming the lands is too severe a step against petitioner even if he could be considered guilty of any laches, while the order handing over the chattra is opposed to law and a deprivation of petitioner's right in the property.

The petitioner most humbly submits therefore that the order may be modified as prayed for.

The lands are fixed for sale on 26. 7. 31. If they are allowed to be sold away petitioner will be put to serious loss and injury. The lands have been in the enjoyment of the petitioner and his ancestors for nearly sixty years and petitioner most humbly and respectfully prays that the sale may be stayed and the Hon'ble Government enquire into the justice of the petitioner's case.

Bangalore,
18. 7. 31.

Chennabasappa

Petitioner.

Advocates for Petitioner.





Before the Government of H.H. Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

1930....1931 ನೇ ಇಸ್ವಿ [illegible] ನಂಬರು

Petitioner

C. Venkatakrishnaiah } Vs. { [illegible]

ಮ|| ರಾ|| ಕೆ. ಟಿ. ಭಾಷ್ಯಂ ಅಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್, ಬಿ. ಎ, ಬಿ ಎಲ್., ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್ ರವರಿಗೆ:—
M. Sachidananda Rao Advocate

Petitioner ಬರಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮ.

ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ವರವಾಗಿ ಆಫೈರುವರಿಗೂ ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವಾದ ಜರಗಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ನನ್ನ ವರ ಆಗುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಧವಾದ ಆರ್ಡರನ್ನೂ ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನೂ ಜಾರಿಕ್ರಮ ನಡಿಸಿ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲುಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ರಾಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಮೈಸಲ್ಮಾಡಿಸತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಗೂ ನನಗೆ ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಿಂದ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗೂ, ಸಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು ರೀಫಂಡು ಸ್ಟಾಂಪು ಮೊಬಲಗು ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ತಮಗೆ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ತಾವಾಗಲಿ ಯಾ ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ತಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲಿ ಡಿಟೋ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದುಇದ್ದು ನಡೆಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇಧೇನೆ

C. Venkata Krishnaiah

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತಾರುನಾಮ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತಾರುನಾಮೆ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್.

ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್.

ಎಸ್. ಎಂ. ಯಜಮಾನ್ ಅಂಡ್ ಸನ್, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ.

2 Vols

App. F. G. 9]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

2

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 137 here file 1825-7-31

The accompanying Petition Registered

No. 80 dated 18-7-31

received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from Mr. C. Venkata Balarama Rao, Chenna Kesavapura Pana Gadde Taluk, regarding the issue of a short order in respect of the proposed sale of certain lands enclosed by ~~the~~ Sri Kumara Bhattara at Pana & the Civil Register is forwarded, together with its enclosures to the Inam Commissioner for Settlement and Abolition for disposal.

by Govt.

By Order,
24-7-31
Secretary.

BANGALORE,
..... 19.....

ON MYSORE GOVERNMENT SERVICE.

(20

To

C. V. Venkataramana Rao Esqre
c/o K. T. Bhashyam
Ayyangar, Esqre B.A.B.L.
Advocate
Office of the H. Legislature
Govt, Bangalore.

No. 126/31-32
Ev 2 files

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Please invariably quote this number in your future communications.
No. 1398

Office of the ..... Dated 25-7-1931

To C. Venkata Bhimarao
c/o K. T. Bhashyam Iyengar
Executive Officer

Memo of acknowledgment

His/Her/Their letter/petition dated 18-7-31 has been registered and is receiving attention.

Subject matter:- Can be issued.

Protesting against the resumption of the inam lands endowed to Obsemakka's Chattram at Parangipet

Signature.

Designation.

24-7

Ref 22/7/31

No. 126/31-32
Ev 2 files

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 30th October 1931.

4/4. Muz 16-31-4

S. HIRIANNAIYA, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,
~~S. RAJAGOPALACHARI, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,~~
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Rajasevaparayana
P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your Official Memorandum No.137/Muz. dated 25-7-31 calling for the connected records relating to the resumption and leasing out of the Inam lands endowed to Pheemappa's Chattram at Pavagada, I have the honor to forward herewith the entire records on the subject received with the Tumkur District Deputy Commissioner's letter No. M.229/31-32 dated 29-9-31 (copy enclosed) and to state that the circumstances under which a recommendation was made by this office for the resumption of the Inam lands endowed to the Chattram have been set forth in this office letter No.C.856/30-31 dated 3-12-30.

The petition of Venkata Pheema Rau and other enclosures received with your Memo are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Muzrai Commissioner.

AM/

Letter No.M.229/31-32 dated 29-9-31 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

---

With reference to your letter No.126/31-32 dated 13th August 1931 in the matter of the submission of the connected records relating to the sale of Inam lands endowed to Pheemappa's chattam at Pavagada, I have the honor to forward herein enclosed the entire records on the subject ending with Madhu giri Sub Division Officer's report No.A.1-157/31-32 dated 16-9-31 together with the petition of Mr. C. Venkata Rhima Rau and other enclosures to your letter under reference.

"Copy"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Appendix E G—1].

SUBJECT 24

.....

.....

Section

File No. 16231

Serial No. 3

Diary No. of letter replied to 440

No 806

To

The Supdt Rev Survey & Settlements (Grant)

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Sir,

Date of issue 5-12-31.

I am directed to request you will be good enough to forward for record before 15/12/31 a certified copy of the Conveyance / Grant Deed of Registration in your office about the conditions of the grant of lands in Mugabala Bella Thimmalapalle Kole, Kolar, Thimmalapalle Palle Pandaru and to acknowledge

Signed by [illegible]

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 71—GPBM—40,000—20-7-31

† Mussafirkhana at Parag-da

Thane Etc

30.11.31

Secret

Travel

GS

289

No 61
31-32
Enc 4

43

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT,
MYSORE REVENUE SURVEY,
2 DEC 1931

Dated Bangalore, 1.4.... 1931

FROM

S. Hiriannaiya, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
~~DX.SRIXXVASAXIXBXNGARXXFSQXXBAX,~~
Superintendent. Revenue Survey and Settlements in Mysore.

To

Mys 16 - 31 - 6

Rajasevaparayana
B.Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. 806/Muz.16-31 dated 5th December 1931 requesting to be supplied with the copies of the Inam Register of Moogadalabetta etc., 4 villages pertaining to the grant of Chattra and Musaferkhana at Pavagada Town, I have the honor to enclose herewith the copies required.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Superintendent.

BKK.

Register Sheet No. 62.

Register of Inams in the village of Manchenbetta in

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or garden	Extent	
			Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
	Inam	No. 177	2 Cawe 3 Co-t	
		dry	4 2 Seers 2	30.11
		& wet	wet	
			Coor 1 a m 2 = 0.4.	
				30.75

Sammat of Moogadabbetta in the Taluk of

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, etc., whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc., or liable to quit-rent, *i. e.*, jodi, etc, amount of latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession[12] In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, etc., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11
[illegible]	Dm' v inkiam from the Taluk records No. 237 dated 31st January 1862, after Commissioner's [illegible] Dm' v inkiam granting 30 pags. wood of each, same as the aforesaid, in [illegible] Fasli - 31 gollus.

of Comandroo

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND		
Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poornah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the farm has been subdivided, the name, etc., of each share should be entered in the columns

Residence, name and age, etc	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
		a Sons	
		—	
		on — 200.10.	
		on 20th April of Superintendent	
		February 186 —	
		S [illegible] M. [illegible] mini repair	
		[illegible] —	

Division

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam Assistant's opinion and recommendation.	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20

could observe that on the site of the present Chattram there was a dwelling house. which one Somasundara Rao purchased & wanted to convert into a Chattram, provided the Same is endowed with Jodi Inam.

The Said individual subsequently transferred the Same to a city in column 15. The above transactions seem to have been approved by Superintendent of Chitaldroog, vide Muchulka Nos: 148 and 228 of 1855 and 1860 respectively.

The Chattram is permanently occupied by the Amildar from Several years past, and its maintenance is reported to largely by Traveller. The Amildar occasionally feeds Brahmins in the building gratuitously at his own cost.

To be confirmed So long as the Chattram and Annachatra are in good order. Subject to present Jodi without fraction or Rs: 1-6-0

The remainder of the Inam is distributed between the Villages of Kothur Thimmalapura, and Mogada Lakatte, the register of which please refer to.

The well is a convenient by private enterprise. It appears that the Superintendent had ordered that no cot Should be brought at the bar & Jodi Any land be irrigated.

GPB—1,000 books of 2 sheets each—9 8-06.

Received ... Special assistant and confirm
... Special Commissioner ...

... Rs 1-0.
... to value ... A time ... may
... value of the land Revenue authorities
as to ...

... B Gopaliah
Special assistant.

... Rs 1-6.
... Clerk
... Commissioner.

V. Jayanthi Rao
... Assistant

Register Sheet No: 58.

Taluk --- Pavagada

Sammat -- [illegible]

Village -- [illegible]

Register of Inams in the village of Moogalavalla · Betta

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden	Extent	
			Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
			43·39	

Sammat of Moogadal a Bettain the Taluk of Pavagada

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, etc., whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc., or liable to quit-rent, i. e., jodi, etc., amount of latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9

19-1-9

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sanad, all miscellaneo documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or withou grant whether the grant is produced or lost, etc., and the general specificatio terms of all documents produced should be given
10	11
	[illegible] Muchalkum No 257 dated 31st January 18
	[illegible]
	and also a kum No 129 dated 27th octobe
	[illegible]

of Gritaldraeth

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poormah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14

40

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

[illegible] of owner if the farm has been subdivided, the name, etc., of each sheer should be entered in the columns

[illegible]	Relation to original grantee of subsequent holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18

Division

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20
This Inam had formerly been allotted in the name of Gundu ... recorded in this village as per Second order in column 11. This case being ... Sheph ... would be ... Immunity Parkembetta ... remains hereby ... here. The ... conversion ...	... 105-0 ... 190 ... 5½ ... 4 acres ... Hs. ...

Register Sheet No: 67

Taluk — Pavagada.

Samnat ... Amaragondanahalli.

Village. .. Kottoor

43

Copy of Register of Inams in the village of Kottoor

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or garden	Extent Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Extent Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
			As per Inta, 38 P	
	Devasthanam	Survey No. 52.	4 Cand 6 Cood 2/2 ours 0 57.66	

Sammat of Amogadaravetta in the Taluk of

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, etc, whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc., or liable to quit-rent, i. e, jodi, etc, amount of latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9
26-30.			

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscella documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, etc., and the general specification terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11
	Inamkum No: 23 dated 31st January 186.
	D. Inm Village, the extent granted is Second Good 2 2 Acres

of Chittaldroog

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
Bheemappa		Bheemappa.

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the inam has been subdivided, the name, etc., of each sharer should be entered in the columns			
Residence, name and age, etc.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Subbanna Nishaikshana			
Nallasamudhan 45	Same	2 Sons	

Division

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20
This is part of the grant made by Commissioner which is spread over 4 villages. In other respects See remarks Case for Thimmalapuram-patta. To be confirmed so long as the Chattrams etc., are in good order, subject to payment of Tasdik without tracho as per Rs: 2-11-0. Sd/ V. N. Narasimhiah Assistant 19/2/07	To be confirmed. Sd/ [illegible] Special Assistant. Rs: 2 11-0. Sd/ J. Colvin. Inam Commissioner. Confirmed HF

Rough ā Sheet no: 61.

Jubal. -- paragoda.

Sonam L- [illegible]

Crane. - [illegible]

C.004--87 Register of Inams in the village of Thimmanaikenkote

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INA

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or garden	Extent Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
			As per Comitee	
	Ist Summadam C.	No: 54.	4 C and 8 Cood	
		9 wet	= 8 8.5 T.	
		½ wet	2 C and 10 Cood	
			2 ½ Sa.n	
			- 5.1 -	
			23.15.	
				Excess on
				wet soil
				Secondly

Summat of Moogadalabetta in the Taluk of

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, etc., whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc., or liable to quit-rent, *i. e.*, jodi, etc., amount of latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9
2 8 — 0			
2-9-0			
30-10-1			

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, etc., and the general specification or terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11
[illegible]	[illegible] No: 237 dated 31 st January 1862. [illegible] land.

Haldroog

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND		
of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
Bheemappa		Bheemappa. Dwellg Village 4. Cands 16½ Coods Total Irrety 30 pagodas. 3 pagodas do — Ghoriwara 1863. Sundry 4 Cands 6 Coods Dwg Total = 38 8. Remarks Dwg 4 Cands 6½ Coods. Wet 4 Cands 10 Coods Do

of the

OF SUBSEQUE[illegible] AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner If the main has been subdivided, the name, etc., of each sharer should be entered in the columns			
Residence, name and age, etc.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained and in what year
15	16	17	18
[illegible]			
[illegible]	Same	2 Sons	

Division

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20
This is a portion [illegible] recorded in [illegible] [illegible] which [illegible] refer to. The [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]	[illegible]

JECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mucq

File No. 16-31

Serial No. 5

Diary No. of letter replied to ....440....

No 1441/Mq/Encl 1 to 6

To

The Hi-C

eneral and Revenue Secretariat

Subject:- Resumption of leasing out of Inam lands endowed to Bhimeshwara Chaitram at Pavagada

the 193

Date of issue 12.4.32

Signed by Hy. B. Mundadu Bindumadhava

Sir,

With reference to Correspondence ending with the letter No 126/31-32 dt 4.11.31 submitting records on the above subject, I am directed to enquire whether the Chalvadi and Musafirkhana buildings at Pave-

List of enclosures ....

Copied by .....

Examined by

W. 71-GPBM—40,000—20.7.31

are necessary in case of
branches [illegible]

[illegible] to [illegible] at [illegible] date [illegible] to the need for [illegible] purpose [illegible] these two buildings

The records received are herewith returned for reference & information

Thanking etc

9/4/32

Dr Secretary

GS

JH

M. C. 13]

No. 126/31-32
Enc Record



OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE

Dated, Bangalore, 17th April *1933*

FROM

S. HIRIANNAIYA, ESQ, M A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore



To Mer 16-31-8

S. Shamanna, Esquire., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore
F i n a n c i a l *Department*

Subject:- Resumption - nam lands - endowed to Bhimappa's chattram at Pavagada.

SIR,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.1441 Muz. dated 12th April 1932 calling for further information on the above subject, I have the honour to forward herewith copy of Turkur Deputy Commissioner's letter No.M.229/31-32 dated 21st March 1933 and to state that it appears from the Amildar's report (enclosed in the records) that the continuance of Bhimappa's ---- Chattram is very necessary for the convenience of the travelling public especially during the itenerant sessions of the Special -- First class Magestrate of Madhugiri at the place when the New -- Traveller's Bungalow and the District Board Musafirkhana will be occupied by the Magistrate and lawyers respectively. The ------ Bheemappa's musafirkhana is stated to have fallen down and the Deputy Commissioner opines that there is no use of getting it -- repaired at a heavy expense. Both the Sub Division Officer and Deputy Commissioner recommend the retention of the chattram only, which is in a fairly good condition for the use of the public.

2. As regards the maintenance of the chattram, the Sub Division Officer suggests that the management of its affairs may be vested in a committee of dharmadarsis with Mr. C. Venkata Bhima Rao as one of them and the inam lands restored to the latter for the -- maintenance of the chattram subject to the payment of Jodi, or in the alternative that all the inam lands may be granted to --- Mr. C. Venkata Bhima Rao on condition that he will assess and pay an annual ---

Government grant of Rs.81-2-0 (difference between full -- assessment, Rs.92-12-0 and present jodi, Rs.11-10 -, for its maintenance. The Deputy Commissioner also recommends that the old and dilapidated musafirkhana building may be disposed of and the proceeds credited to chattram funds and that the --- chattram funds may be further augmented by levying a fee ranging between Rs.3/- and Rs.5/- for very marriage celebrated in the building and 0-2-0 per day for every room occupied by travellers beyond 3 days.

3. In the Government Order dated 1579-81/Fuz.254-27-13 -- dated 15th January 1931, it is ordered that the Inam lands be resumed and the chattram and musafirkhana taken over under -- Government management, for continued neglect on the part of -- Venkata Bheemappa and others of the family, as a result of which the Musafirkhana is, as the present report shows, ---- completely fallen down. In the circumstances, I do not think that the restoration of the Inam lands to Venkata Bheemappa or entrusting the management of the chattram to him can be thought of. The order of resumption may, therefore remain as it is, and an independent committee may be appointed to look after the --- chattram, the net proceeds of the Inam lands to be leased out as already ordered being utilised for the repairs and maintenance of the institution.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Huzrai Commissioner.

G.M.229/31-32 dated 21st March 1933 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District to the Huzrai Commissioner in Mysore./

Subject:- Resumption of Inam lands belonging to the Bhimappa's Chattram and Musafirkhana at Pavagada.

--

With reference to your No.C.126/31-32 dated 25-4-1932 in the matter of furnishing certain additional information regarding the above chattram, I have the honour to forward herewith, the connected file of records on the above subject and to state as follows:-

It is seen from the Amildar's report that there are four places at Pavagada as noted in the margin* for the use of the travelling public. The first is meant for persons who can afford to pay and the second has not got sufficient accommodation for the reasons given in the Amildar's report dated 7-10-32. The fourth is set apart for the use of a particular community and the third is the only building available for the travelling public who cannot afford to pay. The necessity for such a general resort is stated to be keenly felt at the period when the special 1st class Magistrate of Madhugiri stops at Pavagada for itinerant sessions during one week every month.

*1. New traveller's bungalow (iii class)
2. Combined Musafirkhana and old travellers' bungalow.
3. Bheemappa's chattram and Musafirkhana.
4. Hanumanthiah Setty's private chattram.

The musafirkhana is stated to have fallen down and there is no use of getting it repaired at a heavy expense. The chattram is recommended to be retained for the use of the public and its proper maintenance ensured. Two alternatives are proposed by the Madhugiri Sub Division Officer (a) the management of the affairs of the chattram may be vested in a committee of Dharmadarsis with Mr. C. Venkata Bhima Rao as one of them, restoring the Inam lands to the latter for the maintenance of the chattram as was done previously or the grant of all the inam lands to Mr. C. Venkata Bhima Rao on full assessment with an annual Government grant of Rs.81-2-0 (the difference between the full assessment and the present jodi) for its maintenance. In order to augment the funds at the credit of the chattram, it is also proposed to sell away the old and dilapidated Musafirkhana and to credit the sale proceeds to the chattram funds and to seek sanction for the levy of a small fee ranging between Rs.3/- and Rs.5/- for every marriage celebrated in the building. Travelling public may be made liable for the payment of -3-0 per day for every room of their occupation beyond 5 days.

In the circumstances as explained above, I find that there is no

for the chattram in question and request that it may be kindl ordered to be retained. As regards the other proposals of the Sub Division Officer, I find them also reasonable in so far as they tend to improve the resources of the chattram and as such I request that they may be sanctioned.

"C o p y"

For Puzrai Commissioner.

Proceedings of the Govt. of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read

G.O.No.1579-81/Luz. 254-27-1[illegible] dated 15th Janv. 1931 sanctioning the resumption of Dharmadaya Inam lands endowed to Bhimappa's Chattram at Pavagada and direct-ing that the chattram and the Musafirkhana attached to it be taken under Government management.

2. Appeal petition dated 18th July 1931 from Mr. C. Venkata Bhima Rao son of Hanumantha Rao, residing in Channakeshava-pura Pavagada Taluk, requesting that the orders read above may be modified and the inam lands restored to him.

Order No. 43-6/Mus 16-31-9

Dated, 13th July 1933

The case was taken up on the 4th April 1932. The petitioner and his lawyer Mr. K.T.Bhashyam Iyengar were both present

The petitioner represented that the chattram and the Musafirkhana were built by an ancestor of his and that he is anxious to maintain them in a satisfactory condition so that it might be useful to the public and therefore requested that the Dharmadaya Inam lands attached to the buildings might be restored to him. But it is quite clear from the records that the buildings were very badly

P.t.o

badly neglected and that the Dharmadaye lands were dealt with as ordinary family property. ~~There does not, therefore, appear~~ to be ~~any reason to modify the order already passed~~. The restoration of the Inam Lands to the Petr. Cannot be made and the orders already passed must stand

The petitioner ~~contended~~ prayed that if the lands were resumed, the buildings might be made over to him. The old records relating to the year 1861-62 clearly ~~go to~~ show that the buildings were not constructed at the expenses of the petitioner's family, but by one Mr. Sreenivasa Rao who entrusted the Care ~~repairs~~ etc., of the buildings to one Mr. Bhimappa, ~~ancestor~~ Native Teacher an ancestor of the petitioner. The petitioner's request that the buildings may be made over to him cannot, therefore, be granted.

As regards the Chattram and the Musafirkhana, the Chattram is reported to be in a ~~fairly~~ good condition and useful ~~for~~ to the public. It should therefore be kept in a state of good repair for public use. ~~The~~ from the net income from the lands ~~should~~ ensured to it ~~be used for its upkeep, safeguards being provided by the Muzrai Commissioner by the appointment of a Committee to look after the building~~ and ~~such other action as he may deem necessary to ensure that the income from the lands so devoted to the charity and not diverted to any other purpose.~~ may of Dharmadarsi for the management of the institution

As the Musafirkhana is reported to have fallen down and to be beyond repair, ~~this building~~ it should be disposed of and the proceeds credited to the ~~Chattram~~ funds. of the Chattrams

A.

Financial Secretary to Gvt.

To
The Muz. Commr. with records.
The Comptroller
The Rev. Commr.
The Supdt. R.& S. Settlement

Copy to Mr. C. Venkatabhima Rao
do K.T. Bhashyam Iyengar. Advocate Fortune Institution

47 48 J. 13-7-33

11/7 33